

C1

B2

1. (amended) An exercise monitoring system, comprising:

- (a) an electronic positioning device;
- (b) a physiological monitor; and
- (c) a display unit configured for displaying data provided by said electronic positioning device and said physiological monitor;

wherein said system is configured such that said display unit displays at least one of a subject's location, altitude, velocity, pace, and distance traveled.

Remarks

In the Office Action dated June 18, 2002, the Examiner rejected all of the pending claims (1-12, 14-18 and 59-76) under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kramer (U.S. Patent No. 6,148,280). However, Kramer is directed to the collection of "motion data" rather than the monitoring and display of exercise performance data (such as a subject's velocity, pace or distance traveled). In other words, the system taught by Kramer collects data on the movement of a body part, whereas the present invention displays data on the movement of the subject as a whole (such as a person running). In light of this fundamental difference between Kramer and the present invention, it is not surprising that Kramer fails to teach or suggest many of the limitations found in the pending claims.

In particular, claim 1, as amended herein, is directed to an exercise monitoring system comprising an electronic positioning device, a physiological monitor, and a display unit which displays data provided by the electronic positioning device and the physiological monitor. The displayed data includes a subject's location, altitude, velocity, pace, and/or distance traveled. As the Examiner is aware, in order to find anticipation, each and every limitation of the claim must be disclosed in a single prior art reference. *Atlas Powder Co. v. IRECO Inc.*, 51 USPQ2d 1943, 1945-46 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Kramer, however, does not disclose or suggest any display device, let alone a display device which displays the type of data required by